

**From:** Cecchini, Joseph D CIV OASN EI&E, JGPO  
**To:** Cobb, Coralie H CIV NAVFAC SW, SWEV; Scarborough, Jennifer CTR OASN (EI&E), Joint Guam Program Office; Luster, Jeffrey P CIV OASN EI&E, JGPO; Helm, Joel A CIV NAVFAC Pacific, EV; (b) (6)  
**Sent:** 8/1/2013 12:21:32 PM  
**Subject:** FW: PIFWO DOD workload timetable / PIFWO comments  
**Attachments:** 3 May 2013 Service JGPO reinit response.pdf

# Not responsive to FOIA request

Dan

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Campbell, Earl [mailto:(b) (6)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 01, 2013 12:59  
**To:** Cecchini, Joseph D CIV OASN EI&E, JGPO  
**Cc:** Loyal Mehrhoff; Kristi Young; Jess Newton  
**Subject:** PIFWO DOD workload timetable / PIFWO comments

Dan:

Thanks for sending me your version of the "updated" PIFWO DoD workload spreadsheet. Thank you for sharing the document I provided with DoD staff working on NEPA issues at a PACCOM / Regional level. I've had a chance to check with peers working on Oahu / Kauai issues and feel this information is accurate. I will check on Big Island / Maui issues in the next day or so and contact you if this information needs revision.

Kristi and I had a chance to review the Marianas information and feel we need to provide clarification from the Service's perspective on several points. Each point is organized by project:

1) JGPO 1 Re-initiation Section 7 (interim actions) - From the Service's perspective our May 3rd letter to Joe Ludovici should have "stopped" the consultation clock however we're still working together on a path forward while the clock is stopped. There may be a semantic issue with the types of "review" described in the table. As an example, I think the CNMI Joint Training Section 7 row appears to differentiate to some extent between the point when a consultation clock starts or stops (e.g. BA anticipated at X date) and discussions prior to the clock starting. This may be a point worth clarifying in future versions of the spreadsheet as it provides clarity to both the Service and DoD on status.

2) Recent clearing on AAFB NWF runways for "national defense" - From the Service's perspective, it is unclear what the timeframe for review will be as we need to have dialogue with DoN / DoD on the path forward on this issue. Thank you for your clarification this action relative to the JGPO 1 BO. I am assuming this may still be a point of discussion.

3) JRM INRMP - The Service will complete the review of this document in 60 - 90 days after 1 August depending on the workload and the quality of the document. For your benefit, past versions of the JRM INRMP were inadequate and required extremely high staff time to complete due to poor organization and writing quality.

4) AAFB Guam IRP - Hard copy of consultation request recieved by PIFWO 28 June 2013. Clarification required by AAFB staff prior to initiating review. Consultation initiated 25 July following additional information being provided.

5) MBP - It is the Service's understanding it will be reviewing the MBP for adequacy as a JGPO "Conservation Measure" vs "interested party." The Service's role would have been different

(e.g. more active participant) related to MBP phase 2 if it had been an "interested party."

Overall, we feel that the timing of the MITT Section 7 consultation (anticipated clock starting Nov 2013 plus 135 day anticipated review), JGPO 2 Re-initiation (SEIS; anticipated clock starting late March / early April 2014 plus 135 day review) and the CNMI Joint Training Section 7 (anticipated clock starting March 2015 plus 135 day review) is very tight and likely problematic from a Service staffing perspective. One concern we've got is the level of time needed / workload for DoD / Service discussion that is needed prior to the "clock" starting. We feel that this type of dialogue (like our current correspondence) is extremely important requiring close collaboration to ensure that the Service gets a complete BA that will trigger the review clock starting and subsequent timely completion of regulatory review.

From a wider regional perspective, I will need to discuss with my peers what is an easy or hard DoD workload for folks in Hawaii.

The timing of getting the table is good. I will brief Loyal this morning on concerns related to timing. Due to other issues in Hawaii, our Deputy Regional Director and Assistant Regional Director will be in the office early next week. We are currently scheduled to brief them on JGPO / CNMI JMT / MITT status. Kristi and I will be raising timing concerns.

aloha,

earl campbell

assistant field supervisor, invasive species & terrestrial marianas issues usfws - pacific  
islands fish & wildlife office  
300 ala moana blvd., room 3-122  
honolulu, hawaii 96850

808 792 9414 desk

(b) (6) cell

(b) (6)